

Learning Analytics: Realising the Potential for Personalised Progress

University of South Australia Australia's University of Enterprise





- From conceptualization to prediction to innovation
- Need a purposeful move to translation and impact
- A need for alternate methodologies from micro to macro
- Data integration and improving validity of measures
- Focus on leadership and advocacy
- Intentional bridge building across disciplines



Overview:



- LA Maturity
- Current trends
- Emergent LA work
- Next Generation LA





...is the *collection*, *collation*, *analysis* and *reporting* of data about learners and their contexts, for the purposes of **understanding** and **optimizing** learning









• Over the past decade LA has rapidly moved from definition to prediction to analytical models and developments



LA Maturity:







LA Maturity:





LA Maturity:



- Feedback, dashboards, multimodal data and how these improve Self-Regulated Learning (SRL).
- A recognition of the need for alternate and integrated data



Creating Data for Learning Analytics Ecosystems

Learning is a complex process that involves rich interactions between people, politics, places, and increasingly, technology. Using clickstream data to provide deep insights into learning requires care and a system wide approach. We need learning analytics ecosystems.

- » Kirsty Kitto, Connected Intelligence Centre, University of Technology Sydney
- » John Whitmer, Federation for American Scientists
- » Aaron E. Silvers, Elsevier Inc.
- » Michael Webb, Jisc

September 2020





Learning analytics generates increased feedback opportunities

But what are we doing with that feedback?

- Predictive models/Recommender systems
- LA dashboards



Current Trends:



Courses (current)

							(\pm)) show previous courses
Study Period 5 - 2015	Current Grade	Last Site Login	Risk Level	Late Assessment	Number of Site	Forum Contributions	Lecture Recording	
Accounting	С -	5 days ago (12 Sep 2015)	Low	0 -	29 -	3 -	10 -	
Internal, City West	Course Average: P1	Course Average: 2 days ago	Course Average: Low	Course Average: 0	Course Average: 36	Course Average: 12	Course Average: 4	
MARK C	Current Grade	Last Site Login	Risk Level	Late Assessment Submissions	Number of Site Logins	Forum Contributions	Lecture Recording Views	
Market	P2 -	1 days ago (15 Sep 2015)	Medium	1 -	10 -	0 -	4 🔺	
Internal, City West	Course Average: C	Course Average: 1 days ago	Course Average: Medium	Course Average: 0	Course Average: 11	Course Average: 12	Course Average: 4	
СОМР	Current Grade	Last Site Login	Risk Level	Late Assessment Submissions	Number of Site Logins	Forum Contributions	Lecture Recording Views	
Business	C 🔺	1 days ago (15 Sep 2015)	Low	0 -	10 -	0 -	10 -	
External, City West	Course Average: C	Course Average: 2 days ago	Course Average: Low	Course Average: 0	Course Average: 36	Course Average: 0	Course Average: 4	
INFS	Current Grade	Last Site Login	Risk Level	Late Assessment Submissions	Number of Site Logins	Forum Contributions	Lecture Recording Views	
Business	HD -	0 days ago (16 Sep 2015)	Lowest	0 -	46 -	20 -	10 -	
Internal, City West	Course Average: P2	Course Average: 4 days ago	Course Average: Lowest	Course Average: 0	Course Average: 11	Course Average: 5	Course Average: 8	

Teaching Application Build 1.5.6.0

C3L

My Learning Analytics: CLASS 101 001 WN 2019 Files Accessed Help Logout (myname) Select a start and end week 1 2 3 (Now) 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Files Accessed from week 1 to 3 (Now) by students with these grades: All • My current setting Files I havent viewed Files I ve viewed

Assignment Planning

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

See what assignments have the greatest impact on your

My Learning Analytics : HMP 654 001 FA 2018

1 2 3 (Now) 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Files accessed from week 1 to 3 (Now) by students with these grades: All • My current setting • Hies I havent viewed • Hies I havent viewed • Files Ive viewed Course Reading 1 pdf Class 101 Syllabus pdf List of Lists pdf Study on Dogs and Cats pdf 10 20 30 40 Percentage of All Students in the Course

grade.

Copyright © 2018 The Regents of the University of Michigan

Files Accessed

See what files you and your peers are reading.

Kia, F. S., et al (2020). How patterns of students dashboard use are related to their achievement and self-regulatory engagement. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge* (pp. 340-349).

Grade Distribution

distribution.

See where your grade sits within the course grade

Help Logout (

ly Learnir	ng Analyti	cs : CLA	SS 101 0	01 WN 20)19				Assign	ment	Planr	ning							Help	Logout	(myna
Progre	ess towa	rd Fina	l Grade Currer	nt																Max Possil	ble
	3.2 E.	4.2 E	5.2 E	6.2	Midte.			7.2 E	8.2 E		9.2 E	10.2	13.1								
0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%		55%	60%	65%	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%	95%	100



Assignment Status: Graded Not Yet Graded

Week	Due	Title	Percent of final grade	
Week 7	10/15	6.2. Exercise	4.95%	1
Week 8	10/22	Assignment 3	0.99%	
	10/23	Midterm	14.85%	
Week 9	10/30	7.0 Readings	0%	1
		7.2 Readings	0%	1
Week 10	11/05	7.2 Exercise	2.97%	
		7.3 Final project: draft proposal	1.98%	
	11/06	8.0 Readings	096	Ξ,
4				+





Current Trends:



- LA Dashboards failing to support Student Self Regulated Learning (SRL)
- LA Dashboards are (at present) diagnostic **not** developmental



Current Trends:











Pursuit of personalised and adaptive learning

Predictive models

LA Dashboard of engagement activity

Assessment and feedback





A learner profile comprehensively represents a learner's understanding, competencies, skills, and attributes.



Personalised progress:





C3L



Individual Student Overview

	1.2	1997							
Full Name	\Diamond	Current Result	Remembering	Understanding	Applying	Analysing	Evaluating	Creating	Creating+
Student name	\sim	GPA				11.59			
Student Id		NAPLAN		7.67					
ED Id		PAT MATHS		128.30					
Gender		DAT DEADING		122.00					
DOB		PAI READING		132.00					
House									

Student GPA

• Student GPA	• Year Level Ave	erage 🔵 Class /	Average 🔶 C Grade	2
14				



Student Grades

Subject Name	Grade
8 ARTS - DIGITAL MEDIA	A-
8 ARTS - MUSIC	B+
8 DESIGN & TECHNOLOGIES	A-
8 DESIGN & TECHNOLOGIES C	А
8 ENGLISH	A-
8 HISTORY	B+
8 MATHEMATICS	B-
8 PHYSICAL EDUCATION C	B+
8 SCIENCE	B+

Year & Semester

- Year 8 Semester 1
- O Year 8 Semester 2
- O Year 9 Semester 1
- O Year 9 Semester 2
- O Year 10 Semester 1
- O Year 10 Semester 2
- O Year 11 Semester 1

1071/1256 (85%) Late: 1 (approved 0%) NP: 185 (approved 99.46%)

-		~		

Roll Class

Attendance

Individual Student Overview

V



Grades	Yea	r 10 Semeste	r 2 🗸 🗸	
Subject Name	Grade	Application	Behaviour	
American History	A	E	E	
Art	A-	E	E	
Business, Finance & the Law	A-	E	E	
Business, Finance, and the Law	А	E	E	
Commerce	А	E	E	
Design and Technologies	A	E	E	
Design and Technologies	B+	E	E	,

GPA Over Time

~

Current Student
 Year Level Average
 Class Average



Attendance Percent by Year



SEQTA		PAT Score			
English	13	Maths	271.40		
Science	13	Reading	271.90		
Maths	12				

Pulse Check



Co-curricular and Leadership

Year	Term ▼	Details	^
2020	Winter	Academic Endeavour - S1	
2020	Winter	Academic Excellence - S1	
2021	Winter	Activities Day	
2021	Winter	Community Awareness Day (CAD)	
2021	Winter	De La Salle Day	
2021	Winter	Driver Education	
2020	Winter	Netball	
2021	Winter	Netball	1

Creative thinking:



- A core capability highly valued
- Numerous perspectives and understandings
- Difficult to assess

Creative thinking:

AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL

ASSOCIATION



Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts

© 2022 American Psychological Association ISSN: 1931-3896

E DIVISION 10

https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000510

Automated Scoring of Figural Creativity Using a Convolutional Neural Network

David H. Cropley and Rebecca L. Marrone The Centre for Change and Complexity in Learning, University of South Australia

One of the abiding challenges in creativity research is assessment. Objectively scored tests of creativity such as the Torrance Tests of Creativity and the test of Creative Thinking–Drawing Production (TCT-DP; Urban & Jellen, 1996) offer high levels of reliability and validity but are slow and expensive to administer and score. As a result, many creativity researchers default to simpler and faster self-report measures of creativity and related constructs (e.g., creative self-efficacy, openness). Recent research, however, has begun to explore the use of computational approaches to address these limitations. Examples include the Divergent Association Task (Olson et al., 2021) that uses computational methods to rapidly assess the semantic distance of words, as a proxy for divergent thinking. To date, however, no research appears to have emerged that uses methods drawn from the field of artificial intelligence to assess existing objective, figural (i.e., drawing) tests of creativity. This article describes the application of machine learning, in the form of a convolutional neural network, to the assessment of a figural creativity test—the TCT-DP. The approach shows excellent accuracy and speed, eliminating traditional barriers to the use of these objective, figural creativity tests and opening new avenues for automated creativity assessment.

C3 L

Creative thinking:



- Whole of School model
- Students presented with creativity task aligned with discipline
- Assessed using AI model scalable and reliable
- Demonstrate individual progress in creative thinking skills



Complex problem solving:



- Al integration (Human Al interactions)
- Multi-modal data
- Complex capabilities
- Feedback on teamwork/ problem solving



Al playground:





C3L

Al playground:

- Data collection
 - video + audio
 - Data traces
- Learning analytics to analyze user data and report to teacher
 - Task engagement & progress
 - Assessment outcomes
 - Social learning and teamwork



AI PLAYGROUND COMPLETE SOLUTION SETUP



AI playground:



- Real-time video to teacher with augmented measurements
 - Aggregated from body landmarks and pose
 - Spatial analyses: reaching out for Lego or scanning camera
- Overall group dynamics
 - Engagement
 - Collaboration



AI playground:

- Overall group dynamics
 - Engagement
 - Temporal group on/off task time
 - Spatial (visual) group physical density (GPD)
 - Audio signal value (SV)
 - Text group conversations (GC)
- Human-Al Collaboration
 - Spatial (visual) engagement detection
 - Text group conversations (GC)





In this example, GAHs from Image1 to Image4 are 270, 308, 313, 375 respectively.



In this example, GPDs from Layout1 to Layout4 are 1.33, 1.57, 2.22, 3 respectively.

C3 L







Arguel, A., Lockyer, L., Lipp, O. V., Lodge, J. M., & Kennedy, G. (2017). Inside Out: Detecting Learners' Confusion to Improve Interactive Digital Learning Environments. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 55(4), 526-551.





Mind wandering:



- Lecture recordings 40%
- Shorter videos 40%
- Videos with set engagement tasks 20%
 - Note taking
 - Quizzes



Mind wandering:



- Mind wandering is a significant issue in learning
- More so in digital learning requires more self regulation



Mind wandering:







• Detection and intervention approach

D'Mello, S. (2017). Multimodal Classroom Analytics, Keynote Learning Analytics and Knowledge conference, Vancouver, Canada

C3L



Designing for learning

•

Proactive engagement

University of South Australia

Link to task motivations

Employability:



Master of Teaching (MMET)



The number of courses in the program : 69

All Courses	✓	FILTER T
COURSE DETAILS		-
Course Level	Course Name	AQF
4.5	Arts Education M	
4.5	Arts for Secondary Teaching 1	9
4.5	Contemporary Practice in Education Research	
4.5	Critical Perspectives of Education	9
4.5	Critical Perspectives on Curriculum, Pedagogy and Assessment	9

Analysis of curriculum: Course aims, objectives, descriptions, and assessment



Skill matching analysis summary

The following analytics were performed based on the public available data.

Match against skills gained from	Course & Assessments 🗸		
MATCHED HARD SKILLS (OVER	ALL: 24)		+
UNMATCHED HARD SKILLS (OV	/ERALL: 8)		+
MATCHED SOFT SKILLS (OVER	ALL: 10)		-
Show 10 V entries		Search:	
TITLE	SKILL IN COURSE FREQUENCY	SKILL IN JOB FREQUENCY	\$
problem solving	251 (51.02%)	54225(22.91%)	
communication	219 (44.51%)	55259 (23.34%)	
experience	210 (42.68%)	77351(32.68%)	
professionalism	203 (41.26%)	71837(30.35%)	
self-management	149 (30.28%)	66136 (27.94%)	
teamwork	129 (26.22%)	68425 (28.91%)	
leadership	92 (18.70%)	44734 (18.90%)	
responsibility	26 (5.28%)	42277 (17.86%)	
courtesy	25 (5.08%)	29689(12.54%)	
flexibility	9 (1.83%)	13568 (5.73%)	

Mapping curriculum with job descriptions

- 1. Discrete "hard" skills
- 2. Enterprise skills





Job trends

- Time
- Locality
- Classification





As Student

Overview	Jobs	Skill matching analysis summary	Assessment Profile
he number of	f courses in th	e program : <mark>22</mark>	
All Courses 🗸			
COURSE DETAILS			-
Course Level	Course Name		AQF
4.5	Advanced Research Methods		
4.5	Applications for Social Media Data		7C
4.5	Applying Social Research Methods		7C
4.5	Colonial Experime	7В	
4.5	Foundations of Law		7A

Student lens

- Progress towards career goals and skills
- Identify gaps
- Recommend alternatives



Assessment Profile

RAW COUNT DIAGRAM (ONLY SHOW CORE COURSE OR COMPLETE COURSE RESULTS)



Assessment

- Current course and program view
- External and accredited options?



LA is predominantly model focused. Yet current models fail to account for the complexity.



The complexity of the education system is ignored.

LA is doing the same – we need methods and approaches that embrace complexity science (systems research) to *understand* learning and to *optimise*.



Next Generation:

 The results demonstrate that overall there is little evidence that shows improvements in students' learning outcomes (9%) as well as learning support and teaching (35%).

University of South Australia

Review

The current landscape of learning analytics in higher education

Olga Viberg ^a ∧ ⊠, Mathias Hatakka ^b ⊠, Olof Bälter ^a⊠, Anna Mavroudi ^a ⊠ **Ξ Show more**

https://doi-org.access.library.unisa.edu.au/10.1016/j.chb.2018.07.027 Under a Creative Commons license Get rights and content open access

Highlights

- Most learning analytics research undertake a descriptive approach.
- Interpretative and experimental studies prevail.
- Overall there is little evidence that shows improvements in learner practice.
- The identified potential for improving learning support and teaching is high.
- There is a shift towards a deeper understanding of students' learning experiences.







LA - Focus on leadership and capability development is more important then data solutions and innovations





Thank you

University of South Australia Australia's University of Enterprise